

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/775,279 | 02/01/2001 | David Karl Bidner | 200-0824 | 8275 | |
| 75 | 90 08/14/2002 \ | | | | |
| Edward Timmer c/o John D. Russell Ford Global Technologies, Inc. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | TRAN, DALENA | | |
| One Parklane Blvd., 600 East Parklane Towers | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Dearbon, MI 4 | 8126 | | 3661 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | ——A | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | A | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/775,279 | BIDNER ET AL. | | | | |
| · | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Dalena Tran | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MG, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M | _ · | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a secondary. | | | erits is | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | Ex parto Quayro, 1000 C | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 12 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept | • | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex- | • | | | | | |
| | ammer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | nriority under 35 LLC C | £ 110(a) (d) ar (f) | ų. | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | i priority under 35 U.S.C | . 9 119(a)-(u) or (i). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | a have been received | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | Application No. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | 70 | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | je | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S.C | c. § 119(e) (to a provisional app | olication). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | - - | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/775,279

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 5/28/02. As per request, claims 1-3,7-9, and 12 have been amended. Thus, claims 1-3,5-10, and 12 are pending.
 The prior art submitted on 9/18/01 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 7, is rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by Hara et al. (5,819,194).

As per claim 7, Hara et al. disclose a method of controlling a vehicle drive having a 4 4 low mode of operation and other modes of operation using an electronic control system providing a torque output in response to driver demand, comprising: controlling torque output of one of an engine and transmission of vehicle when the vehicle is in the 4 4 low mode using a calibration table stored in system memory and indicating a relationship of torque output as a function of accelerator pedal position and a speed parameter for reducing sensitivity of torque output to accelerator pedal position in the 4 4 low mode of operation (see columns 33-35, lines 35-7), and controlling torque output of one of an engine and transmission of vehicle when the vehicle is in one of the other modes of operation using a different calibration table stored in system memory and indicating a different relationship of torque output as a function of

Art Unit: 3661

accelerator pedal position and a speed parameter (see column 35, lines7-50; and columns 41-42, lines 36-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, and 5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama (5,262,950), in view of Hara et al. (5,819,194).

As per claim 1, Nakayama discloses a method of controlling a vehicle drive having a 4 4 mode of operation and other modes of operation using an electronic control system providing a torque output in response to driver demand, comprising: controlling torque output of one of an engine and transmission of vehicle when the vehicle is in the 4 4 mode using a calibration table stored in system memory and indicating a relationship of torque output as a function of accelerator pedal position and a speed parameter for reducing sensitivity of torque output to accelerator pedal position in the 4 4 mode of operation (see columns 6-8, lines 65-29; and columns 12-13, lines 15-27). Hara et al. disclose controlling torque output of one of an engine and transmission of vehicle when the vehicle is in one of the other modes of operation using a different calibration table stored in system memory and indicating a different relationship of torque output as a function of accelerator pedal position and a speed parameter (see columns 4-5, lines 37-35; columns 12-14, lines 58-8; and columns 25-26, lines 49-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach

Application/Control Number: 09/775,279

Art Unit: 3661

of Nakayama by mention controlling torque output of one of an engine and transmission of vehicle when the vehicle is in one of the other modes of operation using a different calibration table stored in system memory and indicating a different relationship of torque output as a function of accelerator pedal position and a speed parameter for detecting a drive mode of the motor vehicle selectable between other mode of operations.

As per claim 2, Nakayama discloses the torque output of calibration table comprises a transmission output shaft torque value determined in response to accelerator pedal position and transmission output shaft speed (see columns 13-14, lines 28-48).

As per claim 5, Nakayama discloses the speed parameter is engine speed for a vehicle drive comprising a manual transmission (see column 5, lines 15-40).

6. Claim 3, is rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama (5,262,950), and Hara et al. (5,819,194) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Shiraishi et al. (6,158,303).

As per claim 3, Shiraishi et al. disclose output shaft torque value is provided for a drive gear mode (see columns 7-8, lines 21-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Nakayama, and Hara et al. by mention output shaft torque value is provided for a drive gear mode for applying a control signal corresponding to the selected range.

7. Claim 6, is rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama (5,262,950), and Hara et al. (5,819,194) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rodrigues et al. (6,213,242).

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 6, Rodrigues et al. mention the speed parameter is transmission output shaft speed for a vehicle drive comprising an automatic transmission (see columns 2-3, lines 25-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Nakayama, and Hara et al. by mention the speed parameter is transmission output shaft speed for a vehicle drive comprising an automatic transmission for controlling a four-wheel drive for a motor vehicle comprises a drive mode switch for detecting a drive mode of the motor vehicle selectable between other mode of operation.

8. Claims 8-10, and 12 are system claims corresponding to method claims 1-2,5, and 7 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Remarks

- 9. Applicant's argument filed on 5/28/02 has been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive. However, upon updated search, the new ground of rejection has been set forth as above.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-8223. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 AM-5:30AM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on 703-308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 701-308-1113.

/dt August 7, 2002 TAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER